arXiv:quant-ph/0112036v1 7 Dec 2001

Continuous variable quantum cloning via the Cavity QED with trapped ions

XuBo Zou, K. Pahlke and W. Mathis

Institute TET, University of Hannover,
Appelstr. 9A, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Abstract

We present a feasible scheme to use trapped ions Cavity QED system to implement optimal $1 \rightarrow N$ cloning machine of coherent state. In present scheme, as the ouput of the clone machine, the copies of the cavity mode emerge at the vibrational modes and cavity modes is acted as the ancilla mode in the implementation of the cloning. We further show that such system can be used to generated multimodes quantum state, which can realize optimum symmetric $1 \rightarrow N$ telecloning of coherent state of distant cavity.
PACS number:03.65.Bz,03.67.-a

It is not possible to construct a device that produce an exact copy of an arbitrary quantum system[1]. This result can be seen as a consequence of the linearity of quantum mechanics. Since the seminal paper of Buzek and Hillery[2], quantum cloning has been extensively studied theoretically for discrete quantum variable, such as quantum bits[3] or d-level system[4]. The experimental scheme for cloning qubit has been proposed via stimulated emission[5]. Recently various concepts of the quantum information processing have been extended to the domain of continuous quantum variables. The established continuous variables protocols comprise of the quantum state teleportation[6], quantum error correction[7] and quantum cryptography[8]. Recently. the quantum cloning of the continuous variable system has also been considered in a state dependent context. In Ref[9], the duplication of the coherent state was investigated. The optimality of this continuous variable cloning transformation was proved in Ref[10]. More recently, $N \rightarrow M$ cloning of the coherent state has been proposed based on the linear amplifer and beam splitter[11]. On the other hand, telecloning of coherent state has also been considered[12]
Recent advance in laser cooling and ion trapping have opened prospect in quantum information processing using trapped ions. Starting with the ion trap computer proposed by Cirac and Zoller[13], a number of schemes have been proposed for quantum computation and entangling internal ion states[14, 15]. The approach with a bichromatic field[14] led to the successful entanglement of four trapped ions[16]. All these proposals are mostly concerned with the determinstic manipulation of the internal states of the ions. In Ref[17]. the scheme has been proposed to enable motional quantum state to be coupled to propagating light field via interaction in Cavity QED. Such scheme can be used to generate EPR state in the position and momentum of distantly seperated trapped ions[18] and delocalized mesoscopic vibrational state[19]. In addition, the ponderomotive force scheme is also proposed to entangle atomic motion of the two trapped

ion and therefore entangle two continuous variable (infinite dimensional) system based on trapped ion Cavity QED system[20]. Hence it is expected that trapped ions system can be used to implement quantum computation and quantum communication of continuous variable. In this paper, we present a scheme to implement the $1 \rightarrow N$ Gaussian continuous variable quantum cloning machine via trapped ion Cavity QED. We further show that such system can be used to generate multimodes quantum state, which can realize optimum symmetric $1 \rightarrow N$ telecloning of coherent state[12].

The basic setup we use to couple atomic motion to light was considered by A. S. Parkins and E. Larabal[19]. This setup consists of N two-level atoms confined in microtraps located in an optical cavity. The kind of situation one might imagine is like that realized in the experiment of Ye et al[21], in which atoms were trapped inside a microscopic optical cavity using a far-off-resonance dipole force trap. The atomic transition of frequency ω_0 is coupled to a single mode of the cavity field of frequency ω_{cav}. A single coupling laser field of frequency ω_A is incident on the atoms from the side of the cavity. We shall consider the large detuning of the internal atomic transition from laser field so that atomic spontaneous emission can be neglected and the internal atomic dynamics can be adiabatically eliminated. The Hamiltonian for the system can be written as (assuming a single microtrap frequency ν_x and tight confinement along ttransverse direction as well)

$$H = \sum_j \nu_x (b_j^\dagger b_j + \frac{1}{2}) + \delta_{cA} a^\dagger a + \sum_j \frac{g_0^2}{\Delta_{oA}} \sin^2(kx_j + \theta_j) a^\dagger a$$

$$+ \sum_j \frac{g_0 \epsilon_A}{\Delta_{oA}} \sin(kx_j + \theta_j)(e^{-i\varphi_A} a^\dagger + e^{i\varphi_A} a) \tag{1}$$

where a and b_j $(j = 1 \cdots N)$ are annihilation operators for the cavity mode and vibrational modes of N trapped ions. The single photon atom cavity dipole coupling strength is given by g_0. k is the wave vector of the cavity field, $kx_j = \eta_j(b_j^\dagger + b_j)$, η_j is the corresponding Lamb-Dicke parameter. The detuning δ_{cA} and Δ_{oA} are given by $\delta_{cA} = \omega_{cav} - \omega_A$ and $\Delta_{oA} = \omega_o - \omega_A$. θ_j define the position of the center of the jth microtrap relative to a node of the cavity QED field. φ_A and ϵ_A are the phase and amplitude of the laser field.

We now choose the detuning between the cavity and laser field to be $\delta_{cA} = \nu_x$. By using the rotating wave approximation, we obtain the effective interaction Hamiltonian between the cavity and vibrational mode (in the Lamb-Dicke limit)

$$H_1 = \Omega_1 e^{i\varphi_A} a(b_1^\dagger + \cdots b_N^\dagger) + \Omega_1 e^{-i\varphi_A} a^\dagger (b_1 + \cdots b_N) \tag{2}$$

If we tune the laser field to satisfy $\delta_{cA} = -\nu_x$, we obtain, after discarding the rapidly oscillating terms, effective interaction

$$H_1 = \Omega_2 e^{i\varphi_A} a(b_1 + \cdots b_N) + \Omega_1 e^{-i\varphi_A} a^\dagger (b_1^\dagger + \cdots b_N^\dagger) \tag{3}$$

Here Ω_1 and Ω_2 are effective interaction couplings. We assume that the ions-laser interaction strength is same for all ions.

In what follows, we show trapped ions Cavity QED system can be used to implement $1 \rightarrow N$ Gaussian cloning machine of cavity field state. Let us begin with $1 \rightarrow 2$ cloner. Suppose that the cavity field state is initially in the coherent state $|\xi >$ and two vibrational modes b_1, b_2 are in the vaccum states, i.e. the total three modes state can be written as $|\Psi(0) >= |\xi >_a \ |0 >_{b_1} \ |0 >_{b_2}$. In the following, we see at the output of the present clone machine, two duplicate of the input state $|\xi >_a$ emerge at

the two vibrational modes b_1, b_2, the cavity field mode is acted as the ancillia mode in the implement of cloner. The unitary cloning transformation performed by clone machine can be realized by trapped ion cavity QED. We first apply the laser pulse with interaction (1) to derive the trapped ions and choose the amplitude (or interaction time t_1) and phase of laser field in such a way that the condition $\varphi_A = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\Omega_1 t_1 = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}$ are fulfilled, we obtain state at time t_1

$$|\Psi(t_1) >= \exp(-iH_1 t_1)|\xi >_a |0 >_{b_1} |\xi >_{b_2} = |0 >_a |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}} >_{b_1} |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}} >_{b_2} \tag{4}$$

We then tune the laser pulse and derive the ions with ion-laser interaction (3). If the amplitude (or interaction time t_2) and phase of laser field is chosen to satisfy $\varphi_A = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\cosh(\sqrt{2}\Omega_2 t_2) = \sqrt{2}$, we obtain state of the system

$$|\Psi(t_1 + t_2) >= \exp\left(v[a(b_1 + b_2) - a^\dagger(b_1^\dagger + b_2^\dagger)]\right) |0 >_a |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}} >_{b_1} |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2}} >_{b_2} \tag{5}$$

Where $v = \frac{arc\cosh\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{2}}$. The Q function are same for two cloned state ρ_{b_1} and ρ_{b_2} corresponding to the state $|\Psi(t_1 + t_2) >$

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{2}{3\pi}\exp(-\frac{2}{3}|\alpha - \xi|^2) \tag{6}$$

and both copies have the same fidelity $F = \frac{2}{3}$, which is exactly the upper bound of the fidelity for $1 \rightarrow 2$ Gaussian clone machine, so that our cloning transformation is optimal.symmetric $1 \rightarrow N$ telecloning of coherent state[12]. For initial state
The scheme can be extended to design symmetric cloning machine which prepare N ($N \geq 3$) identical approximate copies from a single cavity field. Such clone machine consist of the N trapped ions in optical Cavity. The N available copies of the cavity field subjected to cloning are stored in vibrational modes $b_1, \cdots, b_N$. We consider the situation that the cavity field is in the coherent state $|\xi >$ and N vibrational modes are in the vaccum states, $|\Psi(0) >= |\xi >_a |0 >_{b_1} \cdots |0 >_{b_N}$. If the interaction (2) and (3) are applied in success to initial state and durations t_1 and t_2 of the respective interaction (or the corresponding interaction strength) are chosen to be $\Omega_1 t_1 = \frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{N}}$ and $\cosh(\sqrt{N}\Omega_2 t_2) = \sqrt{N}$ and phase of laser field is set to be $\varphi_A = \frac{\pi}{2}$, the system evolve to

$$|\Psi(t_1 + t_2) >= \exp\left(\frac{arc\cosh\sqrt{N}}{\sqrt{N}}[a(b_1 + \cdots + b_N) - a^\dagger(b_1^\dagger + \cdots + b_N^\dagger)]\right)$$
$$\times|0 >_a |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}} >_{b_1} \cdots |\frac{\xi}{\sqrt{N}} >_{b_N} \tag{7}$$

The Q function of the N output modes can be expressed as

$$Q(\alpha) = \frac{N}{(2N-1)\pi}\exp(-\frac{N}{2N-1}|\alpha - \xi|^2) \tag{8}$$

and corresponding fidelity is $F = \frac{N}{2N-1}$, which is exactly the upper bound of the fidelity for $1 \rightarrow N$ cloner derived in Ref. Hence, machine is a optimal $1 \rightarrow N$ cloner of coherent state
In what follows, we will show that such system can also be used to generate multimode quantum state, which can realize the optimum symmetric $1 \rightarrow N$ telecloning of coherent state. For initial state$|\Psi(0) >= |0 >_a |0 >_{b_1} \cdots |0 >_{b_N}$, we apply the laser pulse

with interaction H_2 and choose amplitude (or interaction time t_2) and phase of laser field to satisfy

$$\varphi_A = \frac{\pi}{2} \qquad \Omega_2 t_2 = \frac{r}{\sqrt{N}} \tag{9}$$

where

$$e^{2r} = \frac{\sqrt{N}+1}{\sqrt{N}-1} \tag{10}$$

and we obtain state of the system

$$|\Psi(t_2) >= \exp(\frac{r}{\sqrt{N}}[a(b_1+\cdots+b_N) - a^\dagger(b_1^\dagger+\cdots+b_N^\dagger)])|0>_a |0>_{b_1}\cdots|0>_{b_N} \tag{11}$$

whose wigner function is

$$W(x_a, x_{b_1}, \cdots, x_{b_N}, p_a, p_{b_1}, \cdots, p_{b_N}) = (\frac{2}{\pi})^{N+1} \exp[-e^{-2r}(x_a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=b_1}^{b_N} x_i)^2$$

$$-e^{2r}(p_a + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=b_1}^{b_N} p_i)^2 - e^{2r}(x_a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=b_1}^{b_N} x_i)^2$$

$$-e^{-2r}(p_a - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}\sum_{i=b_1}^{b_N} p_i)^2 - \frac{1}{N}\sum_{i,j=b_1}^{b_N}[(x_i-x_j)^2+(p_i-p_j)^2]] \tag{12}$$

which is exactly state discussed in Ref [12]. Similar to proposal for teleportation of the wave function of a massive particleRef [22], we can also use state to realize the optimum symmetric $1 \to N$ telecloning of coherent state of distant cavity.
In summary, we have proposed a feasible scheme to use trapped ions Cavity QED system to implement optimal $1 \to N$ cloning machine of coherent state. In present scheme, as the ouput of the clone machine, the copies of the cavity mode emerge at the vibrational modes and cavity modes is acted as the ancilla mode in the implementation of the cloning. We further show that such system can be used to generated multimodes quantum state, which can realize optimum symmetric $1 \to N$ telecloning of coherent state of distant cavity.

References

[1] W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, Nature (London) **299**, 802 (1982).

[2] V. Buek and M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 1844 (1996).

[3] N. Gisin and S. Massar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **79**, 2153 (1997). D. Bru, D. P. DiVincenzo, A. Ekert, C. A. Fuchs, C. Macchiavello, and J. A. Smolin, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 2368 (1998). C.-S. Niu and R. B. Griffiths, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 4377 (1998). N. J. Cerf, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 4497 (2000).

[4] R. F. Werner, Phys. Rev. A **58**, 1827 (1998). V. Buek and M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 5003 (1998). N. J. Cerf, J. Mod. Opt. **47**, 187 (2000).

[5] C. Simon, G. Weihs, and A. Zeilinger, Phys. Rev. Lett.**84**, 2993 (2000); J. Kempe, C. Simon, and G. Weihs, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 032302 (2000)

[6] S. L. Braunstein and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 869 (1998); T. Opatrn, G. Kurizki, and D.-G. Welsch, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 032302 (2000); P. van Loock, S. L. Braunstein, and H. J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 022309 (2000).

[7] S. L. Braunstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 4084 (1998); Nature (London) **394**, 47 (1998).

[8] T. C. Ralph, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 010303 (1999); M. Hillery, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 022309 (2000).

[9] N. J. Cerf, A. Ipe, and X. Rottenberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 1754 (2000).

[10] N. J. Cerf and S. Iblisdir, Phys. Rev. A **62**, 040301(R) (2000).

[11] G. M. D'Ariano, F. De Martini, and M. F. Sacchi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 914 (2001); Jaromr Fiurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86** 4942 (2001); Samuel L. Braunstein, Nicolas J. Cerf, Sofyan Iblisdir, Peter van Loock, and Serge Massar Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 4938 (2001).

[12] P. van Loock, Samuel L. Braunstein, quant-ph/0012063

[13] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**(1995)4091

[14] K. Mlmer and A. Srensen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1835 (1999). Anders Srensen and Klaus Mlmer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **82**, 1971 (1999).

[15] J. F. Poyatos, J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller Phys. Rev. Lett. **81** 1322 (1998); S. Schneider, D. F. V. James and G. J. Milburn, quant-ph/9808012; G. J. Milburn, quant-ph/9980037; D. Jonathan et al., Phys. Rev. A **62**, 042307 (2000) E. Solano et al., Phys. Rev. A **59**, R2539 (1999) .

[16] C. A. Suckett et al, Nature(London), **404**, 256 (2000).

[17] A.S. Parkins and H.J. Kimble, J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclassical Opt. **1**, 496 (1999).

[18] A.S. Parkins and H.J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. A **61**, 052104 (2000)

[19] A.S. Parkins and E. Larsabal , Phys. Rev. A **63**, 012304 (2001).

[20] Stefano Mancini, Sougato Bose, quant-ph/0105003.

[21] J. Ye, D.W. Vernooy, and H.J. Kimble, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83**, 4987 (1999).

[22] A.S. Parkins and H.J. Kimble, quant-ph/9909021